Newton polygons and the Łojasiewicz exponent of a holomorphic mapping of C^2

by Arkadiusz Płoski (Kielce)

Zdzisław Opial in memoriam

Abstract. Let (f, g): $(C^2, 0) \rightarrow (C^2, 0)$ be a germ of a holomorphic mapping. We give an estimate of the Łojasiewicz exponent

$$l_0(f, g) = \inf\{\Theta > 0: \max\{|f(z)|, |g(z)|\} \ge C|z|^{\Theta} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ near } 0\}$$

in terms of the Newton polygons of f and g.

1. Estimation of the Łojasiewicz exponent. For any convergent power series $f(X, Y) = \sum C_{p,q} X^p Y^q \in C\{X, Y\}$, we use ord f, resp. in f, to denote the order, resp. the initial form of f. We call f convenient if f(0, 0) = 0 and $f(X, 0)f(0, Y) \neq 0$ in $C\{X, Y\}$. For any convenient f, we denote by \mathfrak{N}_f the set of all segments of the Newton polygon (cf. [1] and [10] for the detailed description of the Newton polygon). If $S \in \mathfrak{N}_f$ then we let $\inf(f, S) = f(f, S) = f(f, S)$ the sum of all monomials f(f, S) = f(f, S) = f(f, S). For any segment S in the plane f(f, S) = f(f, S), we denote by f(f, S) = f(f, S) = f(f, S) their lengths. Moreover, we put f(f, S) = f(f, S) and f(f, S) = f(f, S) their lengths. Moreover, we put f(f, S) = f(f, S) and f(f, S) = f(f, S) which we denote briefly by f(f, S).

DEFINITION 1.1. The germ (f, g) determined by convenient power series f, g is non-degenerate if for $S \in \mathfrak{N}_f$ and $T \in \mathfrak{N}_g$ one has the following:

- (a) either S and T are not parallel, i.e., $|S_1| |T_2| \neq |S_2| |T_1|$, or
- (b) the segments S and T are parallel and the system of equations in(f, S)(X, Y) = 0, in(g, T)(X, Y) = 0 has no solutions in $(C \setminus \{0\}) \times (C \setminus \{0\})$.

One can check that the nondegeneracy condition is generic in the sense of Kouchnirenko (cf. [4]). For any germ $(f, g): C^2 \to C^2$, we define the multiplicity: $m_0(f, g) = C$ -codimension of the ideal generated by f, g in $C\{X, Y\}$, and the Łojasiewicz exponent: $l_0(f, g) =$ the greatest lower bound of the set of

276 A. Płoski

all $\Theta > 0$ which satisfy the condition: there exist positive constants C, R such that $\max\{|f(x, y)|, |g(x, y)|\} \ge C(\max\{|x|, |y|\})^{\Theta}$ for all $(x, y) \in C^2$ such that $\max\{|x|, |y|\} < R$ (cf. [2], [6], [8]). If the germ (f, g) has an isolated zero at $0 \in C^2$, then both $m_0(f, g)$ and $l_0(f, g)$ are finite.

Let us recall the following well-known result.

THEOREM 1.2. Suppose that $f, g \in C\{X, Y\}$ are convenient. Then $m_0(f, g) \ge \sum_{S \in \Re_f} \sum_{T \in \Re_g} [S, T]$, the equality holding if the germ (f, g) is non-degenerate.

For the sake of completeness we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 2.

The main result of this note is

THEOREM 1.3. Suppose that $f, g \in C\{X, Y\}$ are convenient. Then

$$l_0(f, g) \geqslant \max \left\{ \max_{S \in \mathfrak{N}_f} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|S\|} \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{N}_g} [S, T] \right\}, \max_{T \in \mathfrak{N}_g} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|T\|} \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{N}_f} [S, T] \right\} \right\},$$

equality holding if the germ (f, g) is non-degenerate.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be given in Section 3. Note here that Lichtin in [7] gave an estimation of $l_0(\partial h/\partial X,\,\partial h/\partial Y)$ in terms of the Newton polygon of the series $h\in C\{X,\,Y\}$ satisfying a non-degeneracy condition. Theorem 1.3 and Lichtin's result are independent. If $\mathfrak{N}_f=\mathfrak{N}_g=\mathfrak{N}$ then the right-hand side of the inequality in Theorem 1.2 equals the double area between the polygon and the two axes. In this case, Theorem 1.3 has also a simple geometrical meaning.

LEMMA 1.4. Suppose that $\mathfrak{N}_f = \mathfrak{N}_g = \mathfrak{N}$ and let (m, 0) and (0, n) be the points of \mathfrak{N} which lie on the axes. Then the right-hand side of inequality (1.3) is tegual to $\max(m, n)$.

Proof. We have to check that $\max_{S \in \Re} \{(1/\|S\|) \sum [S, T]\} = \max(m, n)$ (\sum denotes the summation over all $T \in \Re$). Obviously, $\sum |T_1| = m$, $\sum |T_2| = n$; hence $\sum [S, T] \leq |S_1|n$, $\sum [S, T] \leq |S_2|m$ and we get $(1/\|S\|) \sum [S, T] \leq \max(m, n)$ for any $S \in \Re$. Let $A, B \in \Re$ be such that $|A_1|/|A_2| \leq |S_1|/|S_2| \leq |B_1|/|B_2|$ for any $S \in \Re$. We have then $(1/\|A\|) \sum [A, T] = (|A_1|/\|A\|)n$, $(1/\|B\|) \sum [B, T] = (|B_2|/\|B\|)m$ and the lemma follows since

$$\max((|A_1|/||A||)n, (|B_2|/||B||)m) \ge \max(m, n).$$

2. Puiseux expansions. For any Puiseux series $Y(X) = aX^{\alpha} + a'X^{\alpha'} + \dots$ $\in C\{X\}^* = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} C\{X^{1/k}\}$ $(\alpha < \alpha' < \dots$ rational numbers, $a, a', \dots \in C\setminus\{0\}$) we put ord $Y(X) = \alpha$, in $Y(X) = aX^{\alpha}$. Let $f(X, Y) \in C\{X, Y\}$. The solution of f(X, Y) = 0 in $C\{X\}^*$ is a Puiseux series $Y(X) \in C\{X\}^*$ such that f(X, Y(X)) = 0 in $C\{X\}^*$. The smallest integer m such that

 $\partial^m f/\partial Y^m(X, Y(X)) \neq 0$ in $C\{X\}^*$ is called the multiplicity of the solution Y(X). In what follows the solutions are always counted with multiplicities. We have the following classical result.

THEOREM 2.1 (Newton-Puiseux, see [1], [10]). Let $f(X, Y) \in C\{X, Y\}$ be a convenient power series.

- I. The equation f(X, Y) = 0 has $\sum_{S \in \mathfrak{N}_f} |S_2| = \operatorname{ord} f(0, Y)$ solutions in $\mathbb{C}\{X\}^*$. For each $S \in \mathfrak{N}_f$ there correspond $|S_2|$ solutions of order $|S_1|/|S_2|$. Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and let α be a rational number. Then in $Y(X) = aX^{\alpha}$ for a solution corresponding to S if and only if $\operatorname{in}(f, S)(X, aX^{\alpha}) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}\{X\}^*$.
- II. The equation f(X, Y) = 0 has $\sum_{S \in \mathfrak{N}_f} |S_1| = \operatorname{ord} f(X, 0)$ solutions in $\mathbb{C}\{Y\}^*$. To each $S \in \mathfrak{N}_f$ there correspond $|S_1|$ solutions of order $|S_2|/|S_1|$. Let $b \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and let β be a rational number. Then $\operatorname{in} X(Y) = bY^{\beta}$ for a solution $X(Y) \in \mathbb{C}\{Y\}^*$ corresponding to S if and only if $\operatorname{in}(f, S)(bY^{\beta}, Y) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}\{Y\}^*$.

The Newton polygons of the power series f(X, Y) and f(Y, X) are symmetrical with respect to the diagonal p = q, therefore, part II of the theorem follows from part I. As an application of Theorem 2.1 we get a characterization of the non-degeneracy condition given in Section 1.

- LEMMA 2.2. Let $f, g \in C\{X, Y\}$ be convenient power series. The following three conditions are equivalent:
 - (i) The germ (f, g): $(C^2, 0) \rightarrow (C^2, 0)$ is non-degenerate.
- (ii) For every solution $Y(X) \in \mathbb{C}\{X\}^*$ of f(X, Y) = 0 and every solution $\overline{Y}(X) \in \mathbb{C}\{X\}^*$ of g(X, Y) = 0 one has $\operatorname{ord}(Y(X) \overline{Y}(X)) = \min\{\operatorname{ord} Y(X), \operatorname{ord} \overline{Y}(X)\}.$
- (iii) For every solution $X(Y) \in C\{Y\}^*$ of f(X, Y) = 0 and every solution $\overline{X}(Y) \in C\{Y\}^*$ of g(X, Y) = 0 one has ord $(X(Y) \overline{X}(Y)) = \min\{\operatorname{ord} X(Y), \operatorname{ord} \overline{X}(Y)\}.$
- Proof. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{ord}(Y(X) \overline{Y}(X)) = \min\{\operatorname{ord} Y(X), \operatorname{ord} \overline{Y}(X)\}\$ if and only if $\operatorname{in} Y(X) \neq \operatorname{in} \overline{Y}(X)$. Thus the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows from part I of the Newton-Puiseux Theorem and the definition of the non-degeneracy condition. Analogously, we check (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii).

The proof of Theorem 1.2 uses Theorem 2.1 and the proposition given below.

PROPOSITION 2.3 (Zeuten's Rule). Let f(X, Y), $g(X, Y) \in C\{X, Y\}$ be such that f(0, 0) = g(0, 0) = 0 and $f(0, Y)g(0, Y) \neq 0$ in $C\{Y\}$. Let $(Y_i(X))$, resp. $(\overline{Y}_j(X))$, be the sequence of all solutions in $C\{X\}^*$ (counted with multiplicities) of f(X, Y) = 0, resp. g(X, Y) = 0. Then $m_0(f, g) = \sum_i \sum_j \operatorname{ord}(Y_j(X) - \overline{Y}_j(X))$.

278 A. Ploski

Proof. By the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem we may assume that f, g are Y-distinguished polynomials. Let $R_{f,g}(X)$ be the Y-resultant of f, g. One can prove directly that $m_0(f, g) = \operatorname{ord} R_{f,g}(X)$ (cf. [3], p. 21). On the other hand, the expression of the resultant in terms of roots yields $\operatorname{ord} R_{f,g}(X) = \sum_{i} \operatorname{ord} (Y_i(X) - \overline{Y}_j(X))$ and Proposition 2.3 follows.

Now, we can prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $f, g \in C\{X, Y\}$ are convenient. Using Zeuten's Rule, we get $m_0(f, g) = \sum_i \sum_j \operatorname{ord} (Y_j(X) - \overline{Y}_j(X)) \geqslant \sum_i \sum_j \min \{\operatorname{ord} Y_i(X), \operatorname{ord} \overline{Y}_j(X)\}$. According to Lemma 2.2 equality holds if (and only if) the germ (f, g) is non-degenerate. To get the result it suffices to note that part I of the Newton-Puiseux Theorem gives

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \min \left\{ \text{ord } Y_{i}(X), \text{ ord } \overline{Y}_{j}(X) \right\} &= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{N}_{f}} \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{N}_{g}} |S_{2}| |T_{2}| \min \left\{ |S_{1}| / |S_{2}|, |T_{1}| / |T_{2}| \right\} \\ &= \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{N}_{f}} \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{N}_{g}} [S, T]. \end{split}$$

3. Computation of $l_0(f, g)$. For any power series f(X, Y), g(X, Y) without constant term $l_0(f, g, X)$ is defined to be

$$\inf\{\Theta > 0: \max\{|f(x, y)|, |g(x, y)|\} \ge C|x|^{\Theta} \text{ for } (x, y) \text{ near } 0 \in \mathbb{C}^2\}.$$

Analogously, we define $l_0(f, g, Y)$. Thus, we have $l_0(f, g) = \max\{l_0(f, g, X), l_0(f, g, Y)\}$. In the sequel we will need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Let F(Y), $G(Y) \in C[Y]$ be non-constant polynomials. Then we have for each $y \in C$:

$$\max \ \{|F(y), |G(y)|\} \geqslant 2^{-\max(\deg F, \deg G)} \min \{ \min_{y \in G^{-1}(0)} |F(y)|, \ \min_{y \in F^{-1}(0)} |G(y)\}.$$

Proof. Write $F(Y) = a \prod_{i=1}^{k} (Y - y_i)$, $G(Y) = b \prod_{j=1}^{i} (Y - \bar{y}_j)$ in C[Y]. Fix $y \in C$.

First case. $\min_{i=1} |y-y_i| \ge \min_{j=1} |y-\bar{y}_j|$, then $|y-y_i| \ge |y-\bar{y}_{j_0}|$ for all $i=1,\ldots,k$ and a $j_0 \in \{1,\ldots,l\}$. Hence $2|y-y_i| \ge |y-y_i| + |y-\bar{y}_{j_0}| \ge |y_i-\bar{y}_{j_0}|$ for $i=1,\ldots,k$ and consequently

$$2^{\deg F}|F(y)| = 2^k|a|\prod_i |y-y_i| \geqslant |a|\prod_i |y_i-\bar{y}_{j_0}| = |F(\bar{y}_{j_0})| \geqslant \min_{y \in G^{-1}(0)} |F(y)|.$$

Second case. $\min_{i=1}^{k} |y-y_i| \le \min_{j=1}^{l} |y-\bar{y}_j|$, a similar calculation as above shows that $2^{\deg G} |G(y)| \ge \min_{y \in F^{-1}(0)} |G(y)|$.

Combining the two cases we get the lemma.

PROPOSITION 3.2 (cf. [2]). Let f(X, Y), $g(X, Y) \in C\{X, Y\}$ be such that f(0, 0) = g(0, 0) = 0 and $f(0, Y)g(0, Y) \neq 0$ in $C\{Y\}$. Let $(Y_i(X))$, resp. $(\overline{Y}_j(X))$, be the sequence of all solutions in $C\{X\}^*$ (counted with multiplicities) of f(X, Y) = 0, resp. g(X, Y) = 0. Then

$$l_0(f, g, X) = \max \left\{ \max_{j} \left\{ \sum_{i} \operatorname{ord} \left(\overline{Y}_j(X) - Y_i(X) \right) \right\}, \max_{i} \left\{ \sum_{j} \operatorname{ord} \left(Y_i(X) - \overline{Y}_j(X) \right) \right\} \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.2 is a modification of a result due to Chądzyński and Krasiński (cf. [2] and Appendix to this note). Their proof is based on the "horn neighbourhoods" method used by Kuo and Lu in [5]. Before proceeding to the proof of Proposition 3.2, let us note that for any $z(T) \in C\{T\}$ (T one variable) there are C_1 , $C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1|t|^q \le |z(t)| \le C_2|t|^q$ with $q = \operatorname{ord} z(T)$ for $t \in C$ near $0 \in C$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let l^* be the right-hand side of the equality stated in Proposition 3.2. Choose an integer $d \ge 1$ such that $Y_i(T^d)$, $\bar{Y}_j(T^d) \in C\{T\}$. Using the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem, we may assume that f, g are Y-distinguished, so $f(T^d, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^k (Y - Y_i(T^d))$, $g(T^d, Y) = \prod_{j=1}^i (Y - \bar{Y}_j(T^d))$. Fix $t \in C$ sufficiently small. Applying Lemma 3.1 to the polynomials $f(t^d, Y)$, $g(t^d, Y) \in C[Y]$, we get

$$\max \{ |f(t^{d}, y)|, |g(t^{d}, y)| \}$$

$$\geq 2^{-\max(k,l)} \min \{ \min_{j=1}^{l} \{ \prod_{i=1}^{k} |Y_{i}(t^{d}) - \overline{Y}_{j}(t^{d})| \}, \min_{i=1}^{k} \{ \prod_{j=1}^{l} |Y_{i}(t^{d}) - \overline{Y}_{j}(t^{d})| \} \}$$

$$\geqslant C|t|^{dt^*} = C|t^d|^{t^*}$$
 for some $C > 0$.

Hence $\max\{|f(x, y)|, |g(x, y)|\} \ge C|x|^{l^*}$ for $x \in C$ near 0, and consequently, $l_0(f, g, X) \le l^*$. Now, let l > 0 be such that $\max\{|f(x, y)|, |g(x, y)|\} \ge C|x|^l$ for small x. Hence $|g(t^d, Y_i(t^d))| \ge C|t^d|^l$, $|f(t^d, \overline{Y}_i(t^d))| \ge C|t^d|^l$ and we get

$$\operatorname{ord} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\overline{Y}_{j}(X) - Y_{i}(X) \right) = \operatorname{ord} f\left(X, \ \overline{Y}_{j}(X)\right) = (1/d) \operatorname{ord} f\left(T^{d}, \ \overline{Y}_{j}(T^{d})\right) \leqslant l;$$

similarly,

$$\operatorname{ord} \prod_{j=1}^{l} \left(Y_{j}(X) - \overline{Y}_{j}(X) \right) = \operatorname{ord} g \left(X, Y_{j}(X) \right) = (1/d) \operatorname{ord} g \left(T^{d}, Y_{i}(T^{d}) \right) \leqslant l.$$

This shows that $l^* \leq l$, so $l_0(f, g, X) \geq l^*$. Therefore, we get the desired equality $l_0(f, g, X) = l^*$.

280 A. Płoski

We are in a position to prove Theorem 1.3. The proof is based on Proposition 3.2 and the Newton-Puiseux Theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We assume that $f, g \in C\{X, Y\}$ are convenient and use the notation introduced above. If $Y_i(X) \in C\{X\}^*$ is a solution of f(X, Y) = 0 corresponding to the segment $S \in \mathfrak{N}_f$, then

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{j} \operatorname{ord} \left(Y_{j}(X) - \overline{Y}_{j}(X) \right) \geqslant \sum_{j} \min \left\{ \operatorname{ord} Y_{j}(X), \, \operatorname{ord} \, \overline{Y}_{j}(X) \right\} \\ & = \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{R}_{g}} \min \left\{ |S_{1}| / |S_{2}|, \, |T_{1}| / |T_{2}| \right\} |T_{2}| = (1 / |S_{2}|) \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{R}_{g}} [S, \, T] \end{split}$$

with equality for non-degenerate germs.

If $\overline{Y}_j(X) \in C\{X\}^*$ is a solution of g(X, Y) = 0 corresponding to the segment $T \in \mathfrak{N}_a$, then

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i}\operatorname{ord}\left(\overline{Y}_{j}(X)-Y_{i}(X)\right)\geqslant \sum_{i}\min\left\{\operatorname{ord}\,\overline{Y}_{j}(X),\,\operatorname{ord}\,Y_{i}(X)\right\}\\ &=\sum_{S\in\mathfrak{N}_{f}}\min\left\{|T_{1}|/|T_{2}|,\,|S_{1}|/|S_{2}|\right\}|S_{2}|=(1/|T_{2}|)\sum_{S\in\mathfrak{N}_{f}}[S,\,T] \end{split}$$

with equality for non-degenerate germs.

According to Proposition 3.2, we get

$$(3.3) \qquad l_0(f, g, X) \geqslant \max \left\{ \max_{S} \left\{ (1/|S_2|) \sum_{T \in \Re_g} [S, T] \right\}, \max_{T} \left\{ (1/|T_2|) \sum_{S \in \Re_f} [S, T] \right\} \right\}$$

with equality if (f, g) is non-degenerate.

Let $(X_r(Y))$, resp. $(\overline{X}_s(Y))$, be the sequence of all solutions in $C\{Y\}^*$ of f(X, Y) = 0, resp. g(X, Y) = 0. Proposition 3.2 yields

$$l_0(f, g, Y) = \max \left\{ \max_s \left\{ \sum_r \operatorname{ord} \left(\overline{X}_s(Y) - X_r(Y) \right) \right\}, \max_r \left\{ \sum_s \operatorname{ord} \left(X_r(Y) - \overline{X}_s(Y) \right) \right\} \right\}.$$

Using this formula and part II of Theorem 2.1, we get

(3.4)
$$l_0(f, g, Y) \ge \max \left\{ \max_{S} \left\{ (1/|S_1|) \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{R}_g} [S, T] \right\}, \max_{T} \left\{ (1/|T_1|) \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{R}_f} [S, T] \right\} \right\}$$

with equality if (f, g) is non-degenerate.

Now, we obtain the theorem from (3.3) and (3.4) since $l_0(f, g) = \max\{l_0(f, g, X), l_0(f, g, Y)\}.$

Appendix. We prove here the formula for $l_0(f, g)$ due to Chądzyński and Krasiński (cf. [2], Main Theorem, and [9] where a special case is given). Assume that the germ $(f, g): (C^2, 0) \to (C^2, 0)$ has an isolated zero at the origin. Let $f = \prod_{u} f_u$, $g = \prod_{v} g_v$ be factorizations of f and g into irreducible factors in $C\{X, Y\}$.

THEOREM (cf. [2], [9]). With the above notation,

$$l_0(f, g) = \max \{ \max_v \{ m_0(f_u, g) / \text{ord} f_u \}, \max_v \{ m_0(f, g_v) / \text{ord} g_v \} \}.$$

Proof. Put l_* = the right-hand side of the above equality. Both sides of the equality being invariant under linear changes of coordinates X, Y, we may assume that $\operatorname{ord} f(X, 0) = \operatorname{ord} f(0, Y) = \operatorname{ord} g(X, 0) = \operatorname{ord} g(0, Y) = \operatorname{ord} g$. Using Zeuten's Rule, we get from Proposition 3.2

$$l_0(f, g, X) = \max \{ \max_{\mathbf{u}} \{ m_0(f_{\mathbf{u}}, g) / m_0(f_{\mathbf{u}}, X) \}, \max_{\mathbf{v}} \{ m_0(f, g_{\mathbf{v}}) / m_0(g_{\mathbf{v}}, X) \} \}.$$

Hence, $l_0(f, g, X) = l_*$ since $m_0(f_u, X) = \text{ord} f_u(0, Y) = \text{ord} f_u$ and $m_0(g_v, X) = \text{ord} g_v(0, Y) = \text{ord} g_v$. Similarly we check that $l_0(f, g, Y) = l_*$ and the theorem follows.

References

- [1] E. Brieskorn, H. Knörrer, Ebene algebraische Kurven, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart 1981.
- [2] J. Chądzyński and T. Krasiński, The Łojasiewicz exponent of an analytic mapping of two complex variables at an isolated zero, Singularities, Banach Center Publications, vol. 20, Warsaw 1988, 139-146.
- [3] J. Giraud, Géométrie algébrique élémentaire, Cours de Troisième Cycle (1975-76), Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay No 77-75.
- [4] A. G. Kouchnirenko, Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, Inv. Math. 32(1) (1976), 1-32.
- [5] T. C. Kuo, Y. C. Lu, On analytic functions germs of two complex variables, Topology 16 (1977), 299-310.
- [6] M. Lejeune-Jalabert, B. Teissier, Clôture intégrale des idéaux et équisingularité, Centre de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique, 1974.
- [7] B. Lichtin, Estimation of Lojasiewicz Exponents and Newton Polygons, Inv. Math. 64 (1981), 417-429.
- [8] A. Płoski, Multiplicity and the Lojasiewicz exponent, Singularities, Banach Center Publications, vol. 20, Warsaw 1988, 383-394.
- [9] –, Remarque sur la multiplicité d'intersection des branches planes, Bull. Acad. Pol. Math. 33 (1985), 601-605.
- [10] B. Teissier, The hunting of invariants in the geometry of discriminants, Nordic Summer School/NAVF Symposium in Mathematics, Oslo 1976.

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MECHANICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, KIELCE (INSTYTUT MECHANIKI STOSOWANEJ, POLITECHNIKA ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKA)

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23.05.1988